

**EXHIBIT 15**  
**Part 3 of 7**

1 himself suitable. I don't think further  
2 incarceration would be of any benefit in terms  
3 of preparing him for the community and for  
4 helping him to remain clean and sober. He's  
5 been incarcerated since '85. Twenty-one years  
6 on a second degree, I think the amount of time  
7 is certainly sufficient at this point. And I  
8 would submit that he is suitable. Thank you.

9           **PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER:** Thank  
10 you. Mr. Hernandez this is your opportunity to  
11 tell this panel why you feel you're suitable for  
12 parole today.

13           **INMATE HERNANDEZ:** Yes sir I didn't know  
14 how the hearing would go but I did prepare a  
15 statement I'd like to give. In preparation for  
16 this hearing I was asked for documentation of  
17 upgrading and educational, vocational and  
18 chronos of attendance in self-help, group  
19 therapy, job offers et cetera. There seems to  
20 be very little I have to offer in those regards.  
21 And left me myself feeling as if I've wasted my  
22 time. The fact is I've made countless and  
23 invaluable contributions of the heart, religious  
24 and spiritual in nature that are mostly  
25 undocumentable (sic). On top of that I'm caught  
26 between a rock and a hard spot because my goals  
27 are twofold in that I wish to do good deeds

1 while being anonymous about it or at least being  
2 humble, leaving no room for vain pride. Yet I  
3 want to give my full respect to this panel and  
4 all concerned. Therefore at the risk of trying  
5 to make myself look good I'll endeavor to show  
6 I'm good and ready for parole. Starting with  
7 the 12 Step Program. Not only have I attended  
8 consistently I've given myself to serving the  
9 program by first of all staying clean and sober  
10 and caring and sharing the message to the still  
11 suffering addict that recovery is possible.  
12 This I do not only at meetings but I could say  
13 my entire life 24/7 is geared towards clean and  
14 sober living. I'll give you only one example.  
15 T is a person who was suffering from drugs and  
16 alcohol addiction. When I recently got T's  
17 address I wrote several letters of which spoke  
18 exclusively on how good living clean and sober  
19 is. This person is now off drugs and alcohol  
20 and steadily getting their life in order. On  
21 another subject I've been told that my writing  
22 skills are good enough that I can find  
23 employment in doing so. Yet for now I've not  
24 only been concentrating on upgrading and  
25 improving, I've been using my present writing  
26 abilities for inspiring and encouraging as many  
27 people as possible on living and doing better.

1 For the sake of being brief I'd like to give one  
2 example. My son who recently became the legal  
3 age of an adult finally started responding to my  
4 letters. So I gave him all wisdom and  
5 experience I have in an encouraging way. I know  
6 I contributed something to his becoming a  
7 responsible and respectful adult. Another  
8 aspect of my life is caring for or being of  
9 service to the elderly as well as to the dying.  
10 Two brief examples are I visited a friend and  
11 member of the recovery fellowship and gave him  
12 my kind and concerned friendship as he was  
13 dying. And when living in the same cell with an  
14 elderly man I previously never met I gave him  
15 all the respect and consideration I could as  
16 well as doing cell cleaning chores in order to  
17 make his life a little easier. In order to give  
18 a better explanation of my life and why I mouth  
19 this way allow me to back track to some of my  
20 main turning points. I realize all too well  
21 that I was selfish, a user and destroyer, an  
22 addict and no good for anything, the bottom. I  
23 mean what skills, gifts and abilities did I  
24 have, none. Not only that but I was a grown man  
25 with children whom I should have been protecting  
26 and providing for. Even now I have to attribute  
27 the very fact that I am even alive is only due

1 the mercy, goodness and compassion of the  
2 Almighty which I'll call my higher power. Not  
3 only did I stop asking my wife for money because  
4 obviously the kids needed it more than me. I  
5 stopped asking for things period and became  
6 determined to provide not only for myself but to  
7 become a giver, builder, healer, maker and  
8 contributor. And it was rough because for one  
9 reason jobs were scarce even here in prison.  
10 And my own regrets of wasting precious time and  
11 energy and opportunities and in order to pay my  
12 debts for it I exercised extremely, getting  
13 myself conditioned for hard work. Along the way  
14 I experienced hardships in various forms. Yet I  
15 remained determined to stay clean, away from  
16 crime and selfish ways. The eleventh step is a  
17 good description of how I went about my  
18 transformation. I quote, sought through prayer  
19 and meditation to improve my conscious contact  
20 with God whom my understanding praying only for  
21 knowledge of his will for me and the power to  
22 carry it out. In this sense I admitted to God,  
23 to myself and to others the wreckage I'd done in  
24 my life and have been sorry for it all. Praying  
25 that I didn't want to be that way ever again.  
26 And asking for the skills and abilities to be a  
27 selfless, builder, giver and provider. Here is

1 one of my best examples that have resulted from  
2 this prayer and meditation. My writing skills  
3 and abilities are another direct of this too.  
4 In the past when asked how I was going to earn a  
5 living when out of prison I responded by saying,  
6 God would provide. And perhaps that sounded  
7 naïve. Yet I know in my heart that I was, that  
8 my life was in absolute wreckage. And only by  
9 placing my entire focus on my higher power is  
10 how I was restored to sanity and became fully  
11 functional. That will be my stand for the rest  
12 of my life. Nevertheless I hold respect to the  
13 here and now, everyday responsibilities. As my  
14 work and vocational records shows I am a  
15 responsible, good and hard worker. More so than  
16 could be documented I have good work ethics and  
17 habits. In fact I actually view all work and  
18 service as being sacred. In closing on another  
19 basic yet profound aspect that is mostly  
20 undocumentable concerns religion, spirituality.  
21 I have devoted lots of my time and energy in  
22 search and understanding of these great  
23 mysteries which I know now could never be fully  
24 found and understood. Nevertheless I have  
25 absolutely no regrets. In fact I have come  
26 closer to the truth with improved conscious  
27 contact with God as I understand him. For your

1 information I find this in education and  
2 skillful ways of living. In building, making  
3 and creating. In art and beauty, in healing,  
4 kindness and compassion. In nature, in  
5 nutrition, in people and pretty much everywhere.  
6 And I'm evermore most grateful for this and will  
7 continue this way for the good of all. Thank  
8 you.

9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Thank  
10 you. It's 24 minutes past 12 and we will recess  
11 for deliberations.

12 R E C E S S

13 --oOo--  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1 CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

2 D E C I S I O N

3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Fifty-  
4 six, 12:56 in the afternoon and everyone has  
5 returned to the room in the matter of  
6 Mr. Hernandez. The panel has reviewed all of  
7 the information received from the public and  
8 relied on the following circumstances in  
9 concluding the prisoner is suitable for parole.

10 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Thank you, thank you,  
11 thank you.

12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: And would  
13 not pose an unreasonable risk of danger to  
14 society or a threat to public safety if released  
15 from prison. Congratulations.

16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: You  
17 okay, want some Kleenex?

18 INMATE HERNANDEZ: I'll be fine thank  
19 you.

20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Is it  
21 sinking in?

22 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much.

23 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: You've  
24 never heard that before have you?

25 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Never have, no.

26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: You did a

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 1 06/08/06



1 remarkable job.

2 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Thank you sir. Thank  
3 you sir. I want to look you in the eye and tell  
4 you thank you. Thank you, thank you.

5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Your  
6 welcome. You earned it. You've gone down a  
7 long road. You're still on that journey.

8 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Yes. And I will  
9 remain on it too.

10 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: The  
11 prisoner has no juvenile record of assaulting  
12 others. While in prison he's enhanced his  
13 ability to function within the law upon release  
14 through participation in educational programs.  
15 He's attained his GED. Probably one of the  
16 things that we feel very strongly about in this  
17 case is your Buddhist Meditation, the last three  
18 years and the letter of commendation from Tim.  
19 That was pretty compelling. Because not only  
20 did we read that letter and think, okay, letter  
21 of commendation. This guy really likes him.  
22 But I think you displayed it here today. I knew  
23 you displayed it. We both feel you displayed  
24 it. You're not just telling us that you believe  
25 this, you're showing us.

26 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: You

1 live it.

2           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: So that  
3 was very compelling. As well as your closing  
4 speech. I can honestly tell you I've been doing  
5 these for eleven months. I started last July  
6 1st. And I've never, ever heard a closing  
7 remark better than yours. That was well thought  
8 out. It was well read. And it was very  
9 sincere. You're a very serious guy. And I  
10 think you have the courage of your convictions.  
11 I really do. And so let the record reflect that  
12 you, during your speech that you did not falter  
13 and during your speech pulled some beaded  
14 artwork from around your neck and displayed that  
15 with an eagle and an American flag and a bear on  
16 the back and even turned it around. I mean you  
17 could probably use both hands huh? You can eat  
18 with both hands right (laughter from inmate)?  
19 If you played golf you'd hit either side of the  
20 ball, it doesn't matter. Very articulate.  
21 Another issue in self-help programs and this is  
22 very meaningful as you got 11 years in NA.  
23 You've clearly articulated to us how important  
24 that is. You're also the co-chairman. How long  
25 have you been the co-chairman?

26           INMATE HERNANDEZ: Off and on for quite a  
27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 3 06/08/06

1 while.

2           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Yeah,  
3 because I saw it back in the history there too.  
4 You've had ten weeks of hep C. You've had a 13-  
5 week course of IMPACT and these are just the  
6 most recent courses. We're not going all the  
7 way back to the beginning here. Through PIA  
8 viewed the inmate employability program. Some  
9 very important issues in terms of your  
10 vocational programs. Your vocational welding,  
11 arc welding certificate. That's a marketable  
12 skill that can be used in your future. You do  
13 have some, well you don't have a certificate,  
14 you've got vocational graphic arts and printing.  
15 That was in about three years ago. Currently  
16 you're working in the furniture factory with  
17 above average work reports for PIA. And you  
18 have a certificate in mill and cabinet, right?

19           INMATE HERNANDEZ: Yes.

20           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay.  
21 You've received numerous laudatory chronos  
22 behind your NA. That's so important given your  
23 history and the commitment offense. Your  
24 involvement with NA is very, very important.  
25 You committed the crime as a result of a  
26 significant stress in your life. This is not an  
27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 4 06/08/06

1 excuse. We're not in any way, shape or form  
2 saying that you had stress in your life. But  
3 you were out of control. You started at 11  
4 years of age burglarizing. You didn't have any  
5 respect for anybody's stuff or yourself more  
6 importantly. And that stress was self-induced,  
7 substance abuse. It looks like you've done just  
8 about everything. Paint, glue, you're lucky to  
9 be alive today.

10 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Yes.

11 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Because  
12 we know horror stories about paint and glue.  
13 You lack a significant criminal history of  
14 violent crime. You got lots of substance abuse  
15 history. You've got a lot of burglaries. Again  
16 taking people's stuff when they weren't looking.  
17 Going to the markets, going into a pharmacy or  
18 doctor's office and taking money. You have  
19 realistic parole plans. While they do not have  
20 a job offer you have a tremendous amount of  
21 family support. You've got two places to live,  
22 one in Sanger, one in Selma with your mom and  
23 dad in Sanger, the old family homestead. And  
24 with your sister in Selma. What with your arc  
25 welding this is for the record, these are both  
26 farming communities. And mill and cabinet work,  
27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 5 06/08/06

1   woodwork as well as arc welding clearly are  
2   marketable skills and could very valuable in  
3   those areas. Do you agree?

4           INMATE HERNANDEZ: I agree.

5           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: So we  
6   don't feel that given your what we've seen here  
7   today as well as the skills that you have, we  
8   don't have a problem that you can get out there  
9   and get a job. You're still young enough to do  
10   that. You don't have any physical maladies and  
11   so you're in good shape there. We feel that  
12   because of your maturation, your growth, greater  
13   understanding and your age at this time which is  
14   not prohibitive in terms of working, this has  
15   reduced your probability of recidivism. We  
16   don't think you're going to come back. We feel  
17   comfortable that we release you, you're going to  
18   be a success in whatever you endeavor.  
19   Institutional behavior, while you do have seven  
20   115's, none, none of them are violent.

21           INMATE HERNANDEZ: Okay.

22           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: And the  
23   last one was in 1999. It was for long hair and  
24   that's a violation of the rule, clearly. You've  
25   had eleven 128's. The last one being in the  
26   year 2000. So you've distanced yourself from

27   HECTOR HERNANDEZ   D-33689   DECISION PAGE 6   06/08/06

1 any of the discipline problems that you had.  
2 You had some streaks there. You weren't  
3 programming well. You weren't doing anything  
4 well. But you've certainly gotten the handle on  
5 that. We feel you show a sign of remorse. It  
6 indicates that you understand the nature and the  
7 magnitude of the offense, accepts responsibility  
8 for the criminal behavior and has the desire to  
9 change towards good citizenship. Give me an  
10 affirmative.

11 INMATE HERNANDEZ: That's an affirmative,  
12 that's obviously a duty.

13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay.

14 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Absolutely.

15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: The  
16 tape machine doesn't read your nods though  
17 (laughter by all).

18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER:

19 Commissioner Rothlisberger.

20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:

21 Absolutely, Dr. Reed back in 1999 which is your  
22 prior psych report had written that your  
23 violence potential within a controlled setting  
24 is considered to be below average. Released to  
25 the community violence potential is considered  
26 to be no more than the average citizen. But did

1 say that heroin abuse did present a significant  
2 risk factor. And this inmate does appear to  
3 have a heroin abuse problem. This was in '99  
4 and you continued participation in NA during  
5 your incarceration as well as a contingency of  
6 parole is suggested. And Dr. Macomber in his  
7 most recent psychological evaluation states of  
8 course on the Axis I, you have no mental  
9 disorder, Axis II, no personality disorder. He  
10 agreed with Dr. Reed and says that the potential  
11 for dangerousness behavior in the institution  
12 agrees with the prior evaluator, below average  
13 in comparison to other inmates. Never received  
14 any disciplinaries for aggressive, violent or  
15 dangerous behavior. And stated again that in  
16 1991 when you underwent serious life changes.  
17 When you got clean and sober.

18 INMATE HERNANDEZ: That's it.

19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: And  
20 considering potential for dangerous when  
21 released to the community, the level of service  
22 inventory revised, you retained a score of 4.2.  
23 Means that if a hundred men were released on  
24 parole you're expected to do better on parole  
25 than 95.8 of them. But agrees also with the  
26 prior evaluator that you do not pose any more  
27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 8 06/08/06

1 risk to the community at this time in his life  
2 than the average citizen. In fact probably  
3 poses less risk due to is growth, maturity and  
4 improvement. And found no significant risk  
5 factors. Again I believe we have this on the  
6 record before but you have excellent wood  
7 working skills. You are able to obtain  
8 employment. Considerable family support. And  
9 your level of insight and self-understanding is  
10 impressive. I know it impressed the panel. You  
11 obviously have undergone significant mental,  
12 spiritual and emotional changes over the years  
13 of incarceration. And the doctor concludes with  
14 the prognosis for successful adjustment in the  
15 community is excellent. Commissioner.

16 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Thank  
17 you. And it's okay to smile a little  
18 (laughter). You don't have to be so stoic.

19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
20 You're so serious.

21 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay the  
22 base term of confinement, we're going to do the  
23 numbers here. In fact I've given --

24 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
25 Counsel.

26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Counsel,

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 9 06/08/06



1 a copy of this for you. The base term offense  
2 for which the prisoner has been convicted is  
3 murder in the second degree, PC 187, 12022., in  
4 addition 12022.5. The offense occurred on 8/15  
5 of 1985. The term is derived from the matrix  
6 located in the California Code of Regulations,  
7 Title 15 at 2403(c), second degree murder.  
8 Offense committed on or after 11/8 of 1978. The  
9 panel finds the Category 1C is appropriate. 1  
10 would be participating victim, victim was an  
11 accomplice or otherwise implicated in a criminal  
12 act with the prisoner during which the result of  
13 the death occurred, drug dealer. There was an  
14 illegal act going on here. And that led up to  
15 this particular murder. The C is severe trauma,  
16 death resulted from severe trauma inflicted with  
17 deadly intensity, a shotgun. A shot to this  
18 victim and killing him. We have a choice of  
19 three numbers. A mitigating number, a middle  
20 number or an aggravated number. Given the  
21 circumstances of this case we're going to take  
22 the middle number of 18 years. We assess 216  
23 months which is 18 years for the base offense.  
24 And this is how it comes out. We're adding  
25 because you used a weapon in this 12022.5 charge  
26 on that, 24 months. So now that brings up to  
27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 10 06/08/06

1 240 months. Which is in my head 20 years.

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Yes  
3 (laughter).

4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay.  
5 Post-conviction credit, post-conviction credit  
6 from the date the life term started, that's  
7 12/22 of 1986 to today's date, 6/8 of '06 is 60  
8 months. Do you know what the post-conviction  
9 credit is? Do you know what I'm talking about  
10 here?

11 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Generally, not  
12 exactly.

13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: You get  
14 four months a year for every year you don't have  
15 a 115.

16 INMATE HERNANDEZ: All righty.

17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: And you  
18 got five years. Now some years you had two  
19 115's so it's not, we're not taking seven years  
20 off. What we're taking is, what did we took,  
21 took five, four or five.

22 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: How  
23 did we get to 60?

24 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: We added  
25 the, he had --

26 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: He had seven, okay --

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 11 06/08/06

1           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: He had  
2 seven 115's but some of them were multiple --

3           DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Were  
4 in the same year.

5           ATTORNEY TARDIFF: So it was more than  
6 five years or whatever. How many years were --

7           DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: What  
8 did I do with the --

9           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: It was 76  
10 months total.

11          DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Oh,  
12 here we go. Yeah it was 76 months minus 16.

13          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Minus 16.  
14 We gave you four years because --

15          DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
16 Right.

17          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: These  
18 seven 115's occurred --

19          DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
20 Didn't all happen in different years.

21          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: -- over a  
22 four year period.

23          DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
24 Right.

25          ATTORNEY TARDIFF: So he didn't get the  
26 credit for four years.

1           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: He didn't  
2 get the credit for four years.

3           DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
4 Right.

5           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: He lost  
6 16 months.

7           DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
8 Right.

9           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: So he had  
10 76 months of credit. We took 16 months off of  
11 that which gives you 60, five years. So we're  
12 going from 20 years down to five years. Twenty  
13 years minus five years gives you a total of 118  
14 -- of a 180 months, which is 15 years  
15 essentially, 15 or 14.

16          ATTORNEY TARDIFF: Wait a minute, the  
17 credit is four --

18          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Fifteen  
19 years.

20          ATTORNEY TARDIFF: -- four months off for  
21 each year of no 115's. How many years was he  
22 115 free?

23          PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Well we  
24 figured his time at 19 years because it'll be 20  
25 years in December.

26          ATTORNEY TARDIFF: Okay.

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 13 06/08/06

1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:

2 Right.

3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: If we  
4 figured his time at 19 years that he's been  
5 down.

6 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: And five years. He  
7 wasn't credited with five years.

8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: He was  
9 credited for five years.

10 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: He  
11 was.

12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: He was  
13 given five years credit, 60 months.

14 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: No, but how many years  
15 of 115's?

16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Well  
17 I have times four, 19 years times four.

18 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: That would be 15 years  
19 of good time.

20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: That's  
21 correct.

22 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:  
23 That's correct.

24 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: Times four gives him,  
25 that's how you got the 60.

26 //

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 14 06/08/06

1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER:

2 That's how we got there. We just -- Right.

3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: There are  
4 several ways you can go.

5 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: Okay. You went the  
6 other way.

7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Thank  
8 you counsel.

9 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: Thank you.

10 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: I move  
11 slow (laughter).

12 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: That's where the 60  
13 months comes in.

14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay so  
15 you have 180. Your date then would be 15 years  
16 from 12/22 of 1986.

17 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good.

18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: So you've

19 served that 15 years plus. All right. Let's  
20 finish your conditions for you. And I'm going  
21 to ask you at the end of this if there is, if  
22 you have any problem with any of these

23 conditions. These are imposed. Do not use

24 alcoholic beverages. Submit to alcohol testing,

25 submit to anti-narcotic testing, submit to THC

26 testing, participate in substance-abuse programs

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 15 06/08/06

1 such as AA or NA. Attend outpatient clinic. Do  
2 you have any problems with any of those?

3 INMATE HERNANDEZ: I have no problem with  
4 any of that.

5 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: I would  
6 imagine. Okay. We don't have any other special  
7 conditions of parole. The biggest single item,  
8 issue is the NA and we're going to be testing  
9 you while you're on parole. And of course it  
10 goes without saying that there are going to be  
11 other parole conditions. For example if your  
12 father, if you go to live your father and he has  
13 a gun in the house he's going to have to remove  
14 it, okay. Because you can't ever possess a gun  
15 as an ex-felon. You'll always unfortunately be  
16 an ex-felon, okay. But in the free world that's  
17 not so bad. So do you have any questions of me  
18 or us?

19 INMATE HERNANDEZ: No questions.

20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay.  
21 Counsel?

22 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: I have none.

23 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay.

24 ATTORNEY TARDIFF: Thank you.

25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Your  
26 welcome. Oh, now, as was, as you've heard

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 16 06/08/06

1 before, this decision goes to the Decision  
2 Review Unit at the Board of Prison (sic)  
3 Hearings. That's our legal folks who will look  
4 over and make sure all of our calculations are  
5 correct and we've done everything that we need  
6 to do by law and regulation. Then it's sent  
7 over to the Governor's Office. And the Governor  
8 and his staff, his staff will make  
9 recommendations to him whether to let it go or  
10 let it happen or reverse it. If it is reversed  
11 you'll be notified and then it comes back to the  
12 Board. There's 12 commissioners, 11 other  
13 commissioners and myself in the state. We meet  
14 once a month and we look at anything the  
15 Governor has concerns about. We meet and  
16 discuss those. And we can send it back from  
17 that meeting to the Governor and say we don't  
18 agree with you Governor. Which we do, which we  
19 do often. So it's, we send it back and forth.  
20 The Governor can do what he wants at that point.  
21 He can reverse your decision. Let me, let me  
22 tell you, if for some reason something happens  
23 at the state level and it comes back it's  
24 reversed don't get discouraged. Don't get  
25 discouraged. Sometimes that's not officially a  
26 test but that is a test. That's testing you to  
27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 17 06/08/06



1 see if you are really going to be able to put up  
2 with some stress. Having a date, getting it  
3 reversed, oh, so, you know, your character will  
4 show at that point. You don't want to get any  
5 115's between now and ever. You get a 115 or  
6 have a problem along the line, I'd also advise  
7 you not to, try to keep it under your hat. It's  
8 not easy to do I know. The rumors run around  
9 here all the time. But try to keep it under  
10 your hat as much as you can so nobody gets  
11 jealous. And --

12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Tries  
13 to sabotage you.

14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Yes  
15 sabotage your date. Getting you into a mutual  
16 combat --

17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: And  
18 they will.

19 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: -- or  
20 something like that. You know how to handle  
21 that. You've never had a mutual combat, okay.  
22 So what we're saying is walk on eggs for a  
23 while. You'll probably hear in about three or  
24 four months. By law they're supposed to let you  
25 know within 120 days but sometimes that doesn't  
26 happen because we're doing an awful lot of

1 hearings now. We're really cranked up on  
2 hearings. And I can tell you that out of the  
3 dates I've given I've had the Governor reverse  
4 two of them and I won both of those at the Board  
5 meeting. And I've given a number of dates. So  
6 I've got -- He's letting mine go through for  
7 some reason or another. Some people get more.  
8 What can I say? So we're optimistic for you  
9 Mr. Hernandez. We're hoping that you get your  
10 date and go live with your mom and dad and your  
11 sister and you reunite yourself with your  
12 children and your grandchildren and live a  
13 successful life. We've got all the confidence  
14 in you. We don't think we'll be reading about  
15 you in the newspaper doing something bad. Maybe  
16 we'll be reading some of your literature.

17 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Hopefully yes.

18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay.

19 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Absolutely.

20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: All  
21 right, that concludes -- Do you have anything  
22 you'd like to say?

23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: No,  
24 just to wish you all the best of luck.

25 INMATE HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much.

26 //

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 19 06/08/06

68

1           DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ROTH LISBERGER: Carry  
2 your message always.

3           PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: Okay,  
4 we're 17 minutes past one and that concludes  
5 this hearing.

6                               --oOo--

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 PAROLE GRANTED

24 THIS DECISION WILL BE FINAL ON: \_\_\_\_\_

25 YOU WILL BE PROMPTLY NOTIFIED, IF PRIOR TO THAT  
26 DATE, THE DECISION IS MODIFIED.

27 HECTOR HERNANDEZ D-33689 DECISION PAGE 20 06/08/06

OCT 16 2006

**BOARD OF PRISON TERMS**  
**LIFE PRISONER: PAROLE CONSIDERATION PROPOSED DECISION**  
**GRANT PAROLE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**NOTE TO CDC STAFF: Do not release the inmate until after BPT and Governor's review.**

☒ **PAROLE GRANTED**

If this decision is final, you WILL get a parole date. The Board will send you a copy of the decision. If this decision is changed, you will be told why. The Board may set up another hearing if the decision is changed or taken away.

A. Base time in prison..... 316 Months

Case # Count # Offense

B. Time for using a weapon..... + 24 Months

C. Time for other crimes..... + \_\_\_\_\_ Months

Case # Count # Offense Months

Case # Count # Offense Months

Case # Count # Offense Months

D. Total term..... = 340 Months

E. Time credit from 12-23-86 to 6-3-06 - 60 Months  
 (Life term start date) (Date of hearing)

F. .... = 180 Months

**NOTE: This is not a final decision. Do not break any rules in California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section 2451. If you break any rules, your release date may be changed or taken away.**

**HEARING PANEL**

Name Date

Name Date

Name Date

NAME CDC# PRISON DATE

CERTIFICATE AND  
DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

I, RAMONA COTA, a duly designated transcriber, PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING, do hereby declare and certify under penalty of perjury that I have transcribed tape(s) which total one in number and cover a total of pages numbered 1 - 68, and which recording was duly recorded at CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY, SOLEDAD, CALIFORNIA, in the matter of the SUBSEQUENT PAROLE CONSIDERATION HEARING of HECTOR HERNANDEZ, CDC NO. D-33689, on JUNE 8, 2006, and that the foregoing pages constitute a true, complete, and accurate transcription of the aforementioned tape to the best of my ability.

I hereby certify that I am a disinterested party in the above-mentioned matter and have no interest in the outcome of the hearing.

Dated July 3, 2006, at Sacramento County, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ram Cota", is written over a horizontal line.

RAMONA COTA  
TRANSCRIBER  
PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING

**EXHIBIT "2"**

HECTOR HERNANDEZ

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff

ACTION NUMBER 336275-3

vs.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE  
PROBATION OFFICER

HECTOR HERNANDEZ

Defendant

Probation No. 82028

CII A05400164 FBI 0827976V3

///SO 139961 DA 85S0435

Race: Mexican

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:

Pursuant to the statutes and at the direction of the court, your probation officer hereby respectfully submits the following report and recommendation as to the above named defendant, after ( ) conviction in court trial (X) verdict in jury trial ( ) plea: Guilty

942 Edgar Street, Sanger, CA  
Address

PC 187, Second Degree Murder w/PC 12022.5,  
Charge(s) Use of Gun

27 (2-28-59) / 518 days  
Age Time in Custody

August 15, 1985  
Date of Offense

Lucile Wheaton, Public Defender  
Attorney

September 5, 1985  
Date of Arrest

June 10, 1986 8:30 a.m.  
Date of Sentencing Time

John Fitch Twelve  
Judge Dept.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF FACTS:

On August 15, 1985, defendant Hernandez shot and killed Silvestere (Odulio) Bustos.

NOTE: Defendant Hernandez was, on October 23, 1984 under Fresno Municipal Court #675358, placed on two years formal probation on misdemeanor violations of CVC 23152a, Driving while Intoxicated, H&S 11550a, Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance, and PC 148, Resisting Arrest.

OPENING STATEMENT

On May 13, 1986, defendant Hector Hernandez was found guilty by a jury trial in Department Twelve of the Fresno County Superior Court of a felony violation of Section 187, Murder in the Second Degree, as charged in Count One of the First Amended Information. Additionally, the jury found the defendant guilty of an enhancement within the meaning of Penal Code Section 12022.5, Personal Use of a Gun, as charged within Count One.

A referral was then directed to the probation officer for the preparation of a presentence investigation report, and sentencing was calendared for June 10, 1986 at 8:30 a.m. in Department Twelve of the Fresno County Superior Court. The probation officer was instructed to file a written report on June 7, 1986.

CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE

The defendant was convicted by jury trial on May 13, 1986, and the information presented to the jury which resulted in the conviction of the defendant was not made available to your officer. Therefore, the circumstances of the offense were obtained from the preliminary hearing transcript, the Fresno County Sheriff's Department Crime Report, Case #85-16278, and from the Fresno County District Attorney's file #85S0435:

On August 15, 1985 at approximately 3:56 a.m., Officer F. Lopez was dispatched to 2448 South Eleventh #4, Calwa, regarding an injured person and there met with four Mexican males and one female who were standing outside the residence. The officer entered the front living room area of the apartment and observed a Mexican male subject lying on the living room floor with a large pool of blood under the chest and face area. Paramedics and American Ambulance personnel arrived at the scene and advised Officer Lopez that the victim was deceased. The victim was subsequently identified as being Silvestere Odulio Bustos. Subsequent investigation determined that the victim had been shot at close range by a shotgun and at the time that he was shot, the victim was wearing a watch, two gold-colored rings on each hand and had his car keys and currency in his pocket. Officers made contact with Eleno Santa Maria Mojica, Genaro Flores, Doroteo Juarez, Enrique Casias and Mary Lopez.

Enrique Casias was given immunity by the prosecution and then testified that he had been living with the victim at the apartment on South Eleventh Street for approximately one month, that he knew the man killed as being Odulio Bustos with a nickname of "Lolo", and that victim Bustos was the one renting the apartment. Casias testified that at 3:00 a.m. on August 15, 1985, there was a knock on the door, at which time Casias looked out the window and observed defendant Hernandez, who asked Casias if he "had some" (meaning heroin) and then gave Casias \$20.00. Casias said he then told defendant Hernandez, "Let me see if my friend has some," referring to victim Bustos. Casias was getting "pieces" from victim Bustos' boots when the defendant



kicked open the door armed with a shotgun and said, "Give me the gun." Victim Bustos was asleep, however, the defendant again repeated, "Give me the gun." The defendant also asked victim Bustos for the "chiva", which means heroin. As soon as defendant Hernandez said, "Give me the gun," the defendant shot Bustos. Casias stated that defendant Hernandez had pointed the gun at Casias first and as Casias ran backwards with his hands up and stayed in the background, he heard the gun go off. Casias then ran and hid in the next room with his friends. Casias stated that Bustos had been sleeping on the sofa facing up with a gun tucked into and sticking from his waistband and that defendant Hernandez did not get any heroin that night because Casias did not have time to give it to him. Casias further related that victim Bustos only had two or three pieces in his boot that he sold for \$10.00 and that Bustos told Casias that if someone came to the home and the victim was asleep, Casias could obtain two or three pieces from victim Bustos' boot.

Witness Casias testified that when Bustos went to sleep, he would put two or three \$10.00 pieces into his boot and as Casias was bending over the victim on the night of the instant crime, the defendant came in with his weapon and said, "la chiva". Witness Casias had seen the defendant there at the house three or four days before and had seen the defendant at the apartment for a total of four or five times. Casias testified he had sold drugs to the defendant for Bustos at those times but denied knowing that it was heroin that he was selling and that Bustos had never given Casias any money for having sold the "chiva". Casias testified that he and his friends continued to hide in the bedroom for about ten minutes, then after being convinced that the defendant left the apartment telephoned the authorities.

Witness Eleno Santa Maria Mojica told the officers and later testified that he had been staying at the house of the victim approximately three days prior to the shooting. On the night of the instant crime, while sleeping on the floor in the kitchen, he heard an argument and sat up. Mojica testified that the defendant pointed a gun at Mojica and said, "Don't move." At the sound of the shot, Casias and Mojica ran and remained in a bedroom. Mojica also testified that he had been awakened by people talking, however, was not sure that an argument had ensued.

ST. at RAH - picked up gun from floor  
An additional witness, Doroteo Juarez, told the officers and later testified that he was living at another house, however, on the night of the instant crime spent the night at victim Bustos' residence and was sleeping in the kitchen when he heard a knock on the door and then saw defendant Hernandez come into the residence with a shotgun. He testified that before the defendant shot Bustos, defendant Hernandez said, "Give me the gun" twice to victim Bustos. He testified that when the defendant said that, victim Bustos got up and pulled the gun from his waistband, at which time defendant Hernandez took the gun from the victim, and witness Juarez ran and hid.

Two unexpended shotgun shells were observed near the victim's left knee, and a partially filled box of nine millimeter ammunition was on the floor near the victim's head. A smear of possible blood was located on the inside windowsill on the west window and on a chair outside of the door which had been entered. Each item was taken as evidence, and it was believed that the defendant had left the residence through the window rather than the door. Foot tracks found

outside indicated that one suspect ran northbound through an alley to an intersecting alley, then ran westbound through the alley to Tenth Street. The foot tracks were followed southbound on Tenth to the intersection of Burns. At that location, a small piece of chewed gum was observed where the suspect possibly stopped for a short time. The gum was also taken as evidence, however, the shoe tracks could not be followed from the intersection. Three shoe tracks were additionally found near the victim.

On August 15, 1985 at approximately 7:00 a.m., Detective J. Rascon interviewed witness Maria Lopez, who was statedly a friend of victim Bustos, and to the other witnesses in the case who had contacted her at her residence. Witness Lopez advised the officer that from the description provided by the witnesses, she believed the suspect to be a subject she knew from Sanger, however, was unable to remember the name. She further related that she frequented the victim's residence, knew the victim was dealing narcotics and had seen the subject from Sanger at the victim's residence on numerous occasions buying drugs. Lopez stated that in fact a few days prior to the shooting, she heard the victim and the suspect arguing at the victim's residence over money and later told the officer that the suspect's name was Hector Hernandez and identified a photograph as being defendant Hector Hernandez. On August 15, 1985, witness Casias, who had had prior contacts with the defendant as he had sold the defendant heroin on five occasions face-to-face, was shown a single photograph by Detective Rascon of suspect Hernandez, at which time Casias identified defendant Hector Hernandez as the person who shot and killed victim Bustos. On August 15, 1985, witness Flores was provided with three photograph albums of booking photographs from the Sheriff's Department booking files, at which time he selected the photograph of defendant Hector Hernandez as the subject from Sanger who was constantly buying narcotics from victim Bustos.

On September 5, 1985 at approximately 2:25 p.m., officers from the Fresno County Sheriff's Department were conducting a surveillance on the residence of 1315 Faller in Sanger when a 1976 dark blue Ford T-Bird arrived at the residence, which was a vehicle occupied by Fidencio Martinez, who then entered the residence and exited along with Juanita Hernandez, wife of the defendant. Both Martinez and Hernandez proceeded out of Sanger and went to the area of Chestnut and King Canyons Avenue, where Hernandez exited the vehicle, used the telephone located there and then she and Martinez drove to the area of Maple and Shields Avenue. Juanita Hernandez then exited the vehicle and made contact with a male subject standing near a convenience store located at the northeast corner of Maple and Shields, who then got into the vehicle, as did Juanita Hernandez. The vehicle was followed to the area of Barton and Nevada, where a felony vehicle stop was conducted after defendant Hector Hernandez had been identified as being the wanted suspect. All occupants were arrested and taken into custody.

Defendant Hernandez was subsequently Mirandized and after waiving his rights, he agreed to talk to Detectives Trevino and Morrison, however, denied knowing the victim, having purchased heroin from the victim or having committed the instant crime. He then invoked his right to have an attorney, and the interview was terminated.

DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT

As of the date of dictation, defendant Hernandez had failed to supply your officer with a defendant's statement. However, during an interview with the defendant at the Fresno County Jail on May 21, 1986, the defendant stated that the victim was his connection for heroin and that he had thought for some time that the victim was "pinching" on his buys and that he had talked to him before about it prior to the commission of the instant crime. The defendant stated to your officer that he had been involved in at least two arguments with the victim because of his shorting the supply of purchased heroin. The defendant made no other statements regarding the instant crime.

VICTIM STATEMENT AND ASSESSMENT

A referral has been directed to the Victim Services Unit by your officer in an attempt to have contact made with the victim's mother, who is said to be residing in Mexico. Their report should be provided to the Court by the date of sentencing.

STATEMENT OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

A letter requesting a statement of views has been directed to the Fresno County District Attorney's Office. As of the date of dictation, no reply has been received at the Probation Office. Should one be received prior to sentencing, it will be attached to the report for the Court's consideration.

DEFENSE STATEMENT

A statement of views has been received from the Fresno County Public Defender's Office. It has been read and considered and is attached to this report for the Court's consideration.

Attached for the Court's consideration is a letter from the Defense Attorney, dated December 30, 1985.

RESTITUTION

Restitution may be an issue in this matter due to the fact that the victim's body was transported to Mexico for burial.

PRIOR JUVENILE RECORD

The following information was obtained from the defendant's prior juvenile record:

The circumstances of the above offense were that on July 28, 1970, defendant Hernandez at approximately 5:30 p.m. broke into the office of Dr. R. Lee at Tenth and "N" Streets in Fresno and from there removed \$80.00 in cash.

10-5-70 Petition Filed: Section 602: Burglary.

10-23-70 Hearing: Allegations true; placed on probation without wardship until April 23, 1971.

The circumstances of the above offense were that on September 22, 1970, the defendant entered the residence located at 15570 East Anadale, Sanger, and from there removed property valued at approximately \$515.00. The property included a .410 shotgun, a .20 gauge shotgun, a .218 caliber rifle, a .22 caliber pistol, a Winchester rifle, a .22 semiautomatic rifle, a .22 caliber bolt-action, single shot rifle, a Polaroid camera and a radio.

5-19-71 Order: Dismissed.

4-22-71 Petition Filed: Section 602: Burglary.

5-19-71 Hearing: Adjudged ward of the Court, on probation until further order of the Court; released to parents.

The circumstances of the above offense were that on April 7, 1971, the defendant entered the Mission Baptist Church at 1417 "J" Street in Sanger and from there removed \$10.50.

9-23-71 Petition Filed (Subsequent): Section 602: Petty Theft.

10-14-71 Hearing: Allegations true; remain ward of the Court, on probation until further order of the Court; pay restitution; work program at Sanger Police Department.

The circumstances of the above offense were that on July 26, 1971, defendant Hernandez in association with the co-defendant stole approximately \$190.00 belonging to Luallen's 76 Service Station at 466 Academy in Sanger, California. As a condition of probation, the defendant was ordered to work six weekends at the Sanger Police Department and was placed on intensive supervision and ordered to pay restitution through the probation officer.

6-29-72 Petition Filed (Subsequent): Section 602: Burglary.

The circumstances of the above offense were that on June 26, 1972 at approximately 10:15 p.m., the defendant and a co-defendant were found inside the closed business of Padilla's Market at 85 Acacia Drive in Sanger.

10-27-72 Petition Filed (Subsequent): Section 602: Burglary.

11-16-72 Hearing: Remain ward of the Court, on probation until further order of the Court; commit to Youth Center; detain Juvenile Hall pending transfer.

The circumstances of the above offense were that on October 25, 1972, the defendant entered the residence located at 1131 "N" Street in Sanger and from there removed a purse from a victim which contained approximately \$20.00. The defendant was committed to the Fresno County Youth Center for a period not to exceed 180 days.

10-31-73 Petition Filed (Subsequent): Section 602: Burglary.

11-6-73 "A" Petition Filed: Section 602: Burglary.

11-28-73 Disposition Hearing: Remain ward of the Court; commit C.K. Wakefield School; detain Juvenile Hall pending transfer.

The circumstances of the above offenses were that on October 25, 1973, the defendant entered the residence at 1201 Rawson, Sanger. Further investigation determined that no property was lost as the defendant and a co-defendant were discovered during the commission of the crime and had fled. The circumstances of the "A" petition were that on November 5, 1973, the defendant in association with a co-defendant removed a hasp and lock from a garage located at 1211 "P" Street, Apartment "A", in Sanger, where the defendant was observed and subsequently apprehended.

On November 28, 1973, after both petitions were found true, the defendant was committed to the C.K. Wakefield School for a period not to exceed 180 days, however, on May 24, 1974, the Court ordered that the commitment to C.K. Wakefield be terminated. The defendant was continued on probation until further order of the Court.

11-6-74 Petition Filed (Subsequent): Section 602: Joyriding; Count Two: Section 602: Carrying a Concealed Firearm.

11-7-74 Detention Hearing: Allegations true Count Two; detained Juvenile Hall pending adjudication on Count One November 19, 1974.



12-3-74 Disposition Hearing: Remain ward of the Court, in charge of probation officer, commit to California Youth Authority; County pay maintenance; detain Juvenile Hall pending transfer by Fresno Sheriff's Office.

The circumstances of the above offenses were that on November 4, 1974, the defendant in association with an unidentified person took a 1955 Chevrolet pickup without the consent of the owner. Additionally, on November 5, 1974, the defendant was found to be in possession of a firearm with a barrel of less than twelve inches without having a license to carry such a firearm.

11-12-75 Petition Filed (Subsequent): Section 602: Armed Robbery; Count Two: Section 602: Resisting an Officer.

11-13-75 Detention Hearing: Count Two true; detain Juvenile Hall pending adjudication.

11-25-75 Adjudication Hearing: Count One dismissed; detain Juvenile Hall pending disposition on Count Two.

The circumstances of the above offense were that at approximately 4:50 p.m. on October 22, 1975, Mrs. Lozada along with Mr. Higaneda and Mr. Gutierrez arrived at the Sanger Police Department and contacted Officer Ramirez and Sergeant Padilla. Mr. Gutierrez reported that on October 21, 1975 at approximately 9:30 p.m., he was home cleaning the dishes when six young subjects came into his home, told him that he owed someone some money and was asked by the suspects where his check was. Gutierrez stated three of the suspects held him at knifepoint while they took his wallet, and then three suspects had gone into the bedroom where Mr. Higaneda was lying in bed. Gutierrez stated that the three suspects who entered the bedroom were armed with two pipes and a large stick. Mr. Higaneda stated that the three suspects who entered his bedroom had ransacked his room and had found his wallet, which contained \$246.00. After the suspects found his wallet, one of them had struck him on the head with a pipe. After he was struck with the pipe, Mr. Gutierrez told the suspects not to hit him. At that point, one of the suspects struck Gutierrez in the left eye with his closed hand. Higaneda stated that one of the suspects had placed a knife to Mr. Gutierrez's neck and had told him to shut his mouth or he would "get it". Higaneda stated that the suspects had taken the money from him and Mr. Gutierrez and had left. Gutierrez stated that the suspects had stolen approximately \$79.00 from his wallet.

12-22-75 Petition 38154-B Filed: Section 602: Armed Robbery; Count Two: Section 602: Assault with Deadly Weapon.

12-30-75 Adjudication Hearing: Allegations true "A" petition and Count Two "B" petition; Count One in "B" petition untrue.

1-12-76 Disposition Hearing: Remain ward of the Court, in charge of probation officer; recommitted to California Youth Authority; detain Juvenile Hall pending transfer by Fresno Sheriff's Office.

On December 22, 1975, a Supplemental "B" Petition was filed alleging Assault with a Deadly Weapon. The circumstances of the offense were that on October 27, 1975, the defendant returned to the residence of Mr. Higaneda and stabbed him on the left thigh with a knife. On December 30, 1975, a hearing was held, at which time the Armed Robbery in the "A" petition was found true, and the Assault with a Deadly Weapon in the "B" petition was found true. A dispositional hearing was calendared for January 12, 1976. On that date, the defendant was committed to the California Youth Authority.

On February 20, 1976, a rehearing was held in Department Eight of the Fresno County Superior Court, at which time defendant Hernandez was again committed to the California Youth Authority and was ordered detained in the Fresno County Juvenile Hall pending transfer to the Fresno County Sheriff's Office. On February 23, 1976, the defendant was successful in escaping from the Fresno County Juvenile Hall. Subsequent to the defendant's arrest on the instant offense, he appeared in the Fresno County Juvenile Court, at which time he was remanded to the custody of the Fresno County Sheriff awaiting transfer to the California Youth Authority per orders of the Juvenile Court, dated January 12, 1976 and February 20, 1976.

#### PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD

The following is the defendant's prior criminal record as provided by the California Identification and Investigation Bureau and from the United States Department of Justice:

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ARRESTING AGENCY</u>	<u>CHARGE</u>	<u>DISPOSITION</u>
12-2-74	CYA Perkins	Juv Tk Veh Tmp Use Carry Concl Wpn on Person/Vehicle	7-29-75: paroled

3-13-78

PD San.

H&amp;S 11350, Pos

5-16-78 Fresno SC

Narc Contrld Suus

#228480-0; comm

CYA

The circumstances of the above offense were that on March 12, 1978, defendant Hernandez was booked in to the Sanger Police Department on other charges and on March 13, 1978, the defendant's property was searched. Found therein was aluminum foil containing .32 grams of heroin.

3-12-78

PD Sanger

PC 245a, Asslt

5-8-78 Sanger JC

with Deadly Wpn

#C-879, PG PC 246

PC 246

1 yr BP; 364 ds

VC 12025

w/cred 57 ds

VC 12031

The circumstances of the above offense were that on March 12, 1978 at approximately 9:46 p.m., Sanger Officers were dispatched to the area of Faller and Eleventh Street regarding subjects in a white vehicle shooting firearms in the area. There they observed a white 1965 Pontiac and saw a flash of a weapon and three explosions as the vehicle slowly turned southbound onto Faller Avenue. The shots came from the vehicle and from the direction of the muzzle flashes, the officers felt they were directed in an easterly direction towards Eleventh Street. The officers pursued the vehicle, stopped it and arrested the driver, Jose Ramirez, and the passenger, Hector Hernandez, who was holding a handgun in his right hand at the time of the vehicle stop. Both subjects then ran from the officers and after an extensive chase with several officers in pursuit, defendant Hernandez was found hiding in a large dog house. The handgun was subsequently located in one of the yards through which the defendant had run. When the defendant was en route to the police vehicle, he kicked out at a bystander and struck him in the head with the tip of his shoe. The defendant was then placed into a police unit and while en route to the police department, defendant Hernandez kicked out the rear window of the police unit.

NOTE: On April 12, 1978, the defendant appeared in the Sanger Justice Court and entered a guilty plea to a violation of Health and Safety Code Section 11350a, and the matter was certified to the Fresno Superior Court under Information #22848-0. The change of plea transcript indicated that upon the defendant's plea of guilty to Criminal Complaint #C-857 and further, upon the defendant's plea of guilty to Count One of Criminal Complaint #C-850, alleging a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code Section 246, the following agreements were made with the District Attorney: That a motion would be made to dismiss Count Two of Criminal Complaint #C-850, alleging a violation of Penal Code Section 245; Count Three, alleging a violation of Penal Code Section 594c; and Count Four, alleging a violation of Penal Code Section 148. The District Attorney agreed further to motion the Court to dismiss Criminal Complaint #C-830, alleging in Count One a violation of Penal Code Section 245a and in Count Two, a violation of Penal Code Section 415. The Court granted the motions of the District Attorney, and no other promises or agreements were made in regard to sentencing under Fresno Superior Court #22848-0.



3-14-79

CYA Pe  
&A 091111) H&S 11350,  
Narc Contr Subst12-17-79, paroled  
2-16-82, discharged

Your officer notes that on May 16, the defendant was ordered committed to the California Youth Authority under Action #22848-0. However, on May 8, 1978, the defendant was ordered to serve one year in custody of the Fresno County Sheriff on a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code Section 246. In a letter dated December 15, 1978, the Youth Authority reported to the Court that it had been over six months since the defendant's case was referred by the Court to the Youth Authority and that he had never been delivered to them. A check with the Fresno County Jail revealed that the defendant had timed out on the aforementioned Sanger Justice Court case on January 9, 1979 and was then presently pending transportation to the California Youth Authority. On February 23, 1979 under Fresno Superior Court #228480-0, the Court ordered the defendant recommitted to the California Youth Authority.

10-6-81

CAUS M0100  
USM  
LA, CA 8112-10061) Acc Aft Fact,  
18USC3  
2) Conc Pers Frm  
18USC170112-8-81, PG bth cts;  
sent 179 ds ea ct to  
srdv consec

2-22-82

TX071017C  
Latuna Fed Corr  
74322-0121) Acc Aft Fact  
2) Conc Pers Frm  
Arrest7-23-82, discharged;  
rel exp to comm in  
Sanger, CA

The circumstances of the above offense were that from November 16, 1980 to December 1, 1980, defendant Hernandez, having knowledge that Jose DeLeon was under judgment of conviction and a ten-year prison sentence and knowing that DeLeon was a fugitive from said commitment, aided and assisted DeLeon from being apprehended by the U.S. Marshall's Office. Further information was developed by the U.S. Marshall's Office to the effect that during November of 1980 through December of the same year, defendant Hernandez rented a house trailer in the rural outskirts of Fresno and was told by the landlord that Jose DeLeon also resided in that trailer for approximately two to three weeks. In September of 1981, the U.S. Marshall's Office centered their investigation in the southern California area as they had received information to the effect that defendant Hernandez as well as Jose DeLeon were residing in a religious retreat in San Bernardino County. On October 6, 1981, the U.S. Marshall's Office with assistance from numerous law enforcement agencies converged on the religious retreat called Victory Outreach located in a deserted, unpopulated area of San Bernardino and arrested both defendant Hernandez and Jose DeLeon.

10-26-84

SO Fresno

1) H&S 11550(a),  
Use/Und Inf Contr  
Substance  
2) PC 148, Resist  
Officer

10-23-84 MC Fresno

#675358; PG H&S  
11550; 2 yrs FP;  
180 ds ss exc 90  
w/31 ds cred; DWI;  
no alc; \$110 fn ss;  
OAL; PG VC 23152a;  
180 das ss exc 90  
conc; \$673 fn ss exc  
\$130